



Lecture 4: Climate risk assessment and communication
*Training Course on Economic Assessment Methods for Policy Support of
Climate Change Adaptation in the Agricultural Sector in Lao PDR*

Drew Behnke
Berkeley Economic Advising & Research
UC Berkeley, UC Santa Barbara

NAFRI
Vientiane, Lao PDR
August, 2014

Risk Assessment and Communication Issues:

1. Perfect world vs reality
2. How can researchers quantify uncertainty?
3. Moving past means
4. Conclusions



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- i. Future climate conditions with certainty



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

i. Future climate conditions with certainty

Is this possible?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

i. Future climate conditions with certainty

Is this possible?

No! Meteorologists cannot tell us what the weather will be tomorrow with high levels of certainty. Scientists certainly cannot predict future climate with high degrees of certainty.



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

i. Future climate conditions with certainty

Is this possible?

No! Meteorologists cannot tell us what the weather will be tomorrow with high levels of certainty. Scientists certainly cannot predict future climate with high degrees of certainty.

What can researchers do?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

i. Future climate conditions with certainty

Is this possible?

No! Meteorologists cannot tell us what the weather will be tomorrow with high levels of certainty. Scientists certainly cannot predict future climate with high degrees of certainty.

What can researchers do?

Researchers can provide relative probabilities of a variety of future outcomes they think are possible. However, these probabilities are difficult to assess.



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- ii. Who will be affected by climate change and what will be the magnitudes of these effects?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- ii. Who will be affected by climate change and what will be the magnitudes of these effects?

Is this possible?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- ii. Who will be affected by climate change and what will be the magnitudes of these effects?

Is this possible?

No! It is difficult to identify the victims of past extreme events and the magnitude of past impacts. It is not possible to identify exactly who will be affected by potential future events or by how much.



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- ii. Who will be affected by climate change and what will be the magnitudes of these effects?

Is this possible?

No! It is difficult to identify the victims of past extreme events and the magnitude of past impacts. It is not possible to identify exactly who will be affected by potential future events or by how much.

What can researchers do?



1. Perfect World vs Reality

In the perfect world, what would policymakers want to know?

- ii. Who will be affected by climate change and what will be the magnitudes of these effects?

Is this possible?

No! It is difficult to identify the victims of past extreme events and the magnitude of past impacts. It is not possible to identify exactly who will be affected by potential future events or by how much.

What can researchers do?

Researchers can identify the *groups likely to be affected*. For example, rice farmers. Researchers can also identify the *locations* likely to have larger impacts (e.g., the tropics).



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?

Climate Uncertainty

Model Uncertainty



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?

Climate Uncertainty is the unknown surrounding future climate conditions. What will temperatures be in 50 years?

Model Uncertainty is the uncertainty surrounding researchers ability to model the relationship between climate and the outcome of interest (in this case, agriculture). Every model has some level of uncertainty.



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?

Which type of uncertainty is more important?



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?

Which type of uncertainty is more important?

Researchers have found that climate uncertainty tends to be **more than twice as large** as statistical uncertainty.

What does this mean?



2. Quantifying Uncertainty

What kinds of uncertainty matters?

Which type of uncertainty is more important?

Researchers have found that climate uncertainty tends to be **more than twice as large** as statistical uncertainty.

What does this mean?

It is important to incorporate climate uncertainty into impact estimates, and to portray this info to policymakers.



3. Moving past means

Several important complexities of climate risk are often underappreciated:

1. Extreme events
2. Variance
3. Timing



3. Moving past means

1. Extreme events

- Oftentimes, extreme events have bigger impacts that increases in averages. Reasons include:
 1. Unexpected → unprepared
 2. Non-linear effects
 3. Cumulative effects



3. Moving past means

1. Extreme events

- However, it is difficult to study these events because they are rare and we do not have good forecasts of future event probabilities.
- It should be noted that increased average temperatures, for example, make extreme (hot) temperature events more likely because smaller aberrations become extreme events. Same for rainfall.



3. Moving past means

2. Climate variance

- Most GCMs forecast changes in mean conditions. We have less of an understanding of how climate variance will change.
- If climate change leads to higher climate variance, there are likely to be increased damages due to the difficulty of constantly coping with different conditions.



3. Moving past means

3. Timing

- The timing of climate is another important facet of this issue that is difficult for researchers to incorporate into impact estimates.
- Agriculture and rainfall timing is a good illustration of the importance of timing. There are certain times during the growing cycles when additional rainfall is helpful and other times when additional rainfall is harmful to crops. The impact of more or less rainfall depends on when it occurs.



3. Moving past means

3. Timing

- Adjusting planting dates is also a common adaptation strategy to changing rainfall patterns.
- However, it is difficult to capture timing into risk analysis.
- Researchers are beginning to develop methods to better capture this component of climate risk.

Conclusions

- It is important to remember what information policymakers find useful, and to communicate with them to temper expectations about what is realistic.
- As researchers, we face a difficult task trying to provide useful evidence that is also scientifically responsible.



4. Conclusions

- Incorporating climate risk into policymaking is very challenging, but also very important.
- We want to provide policymakers with useful evidence while acknowledging the uncertainties that surround this evidence.
- Climate uncertainty, in particular, is important.



4. Conclusions

- Extreme events, not just averages, are important.
- Scientists often estimate future mean climate conditions, but less effort has been expended studying future climate variance. This an area of increasing research interest.
- More study needs to be devoted to the *timing* of climate events, not just the magnitude, because timing matters.



Questions? Comments?